

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Fillmore Soil and Water Conservation District FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address

Soil Erosion

Ag Waste – Low Cost Fixes

Water Resource

Nutrient Management

Impaired Waters

Grazing Habitat Improvement

Forest Management

Air Quality

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: None
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

| Factor | Resource Priority | Weight |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------|
| A1. Erosion Control | High | 10 |
| A2 Gully Control | Med/High | 7 |
| B1 Water Resource | High | 10 |
| B2 Wastewater/CNMP | High | 1 |
| C Habitat Improvement | Low | 5 |
| D Air Quality | Low | 1 |
| E Impaired Water | Med | 8 |
| F Distance | Med | 8 |
| G Grazing System | Med/Low | 7 |
| H Forest Mgt. | Low | 2 |
| I Additional Local* | High | 10 |

If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities:

Implementation of the following practices in conjunction with practice 784 Waste Water and Feedlot Runoff Control
(362) Clean or Dirty water Diversions
(393) Filter Strip
(382) Fencing
(784e1)Vegetative Buffers
784d1) Vegetative Treatment Area
(784c1) Controlled Discharge Vegetative Treatment Area
(472) Use Exclusion of livestock in riparian areas
(558) Roof Gutters

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document: None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date